


Minutes of meeting held Monday 27th Feb 2017

L- Requests for quotes to go with the grant application for the covered frontage were discussed. They are very different prices and there is still need for a further quote as Lindsay advised that 3 quotes are required. Pierre said that the quotes do not include the plumbing to the storm water drainage system. There was also discussion about the powder coating on the frame being on the underside as well as the top. The work will also need building compliance and there are no costs for this indicated yet. The Board felt that as this will be BOT property it will need to be powder coated to prolong its life as long as possible. Being Board property they are responsible for all ongoing maintenance and upkeep so the longer they can make it last the better. There are guidelines apparently in the MOE with regards to covered areas as square meters per student. He asked if we have enough. **Lindsay** is

meeting with SPA and will ask about this. M- issues with regards to Water-wise are ongoing	Action ongoing
5 – <i>The inwards correspondence is received and there was no outward.</i> <i>Moved:- Craig Erskine</i> <i>Seconded:- Marianne Coldham</i>	Carried
6 Nat standards report to the MOE NAG2Ab Lindsay presented to the Board the 2016 target students and explained how the information gained from this is used in the Analysis of Variance which is given with the EOY report to the MOE. Lindsay explained what the MOE wanted to know about the analysis of variance. The BOT asked how this report was different from the previous years. Marianne explained that the target students are changed and some are the same. The information is about accelerating achievement. Lindsay showed how although some goals were not achieved in fact the students made great increases between 1 and 2 years of accelerated learning was achieved. Craig said that the charts can give confusing information. Dianne explained that although a student could be reading at level 17 their comprehension could be only at level 15. She also explained how earlier levels have greater progress as this is dealing with de coding words and the later stages deal more with comprehension. Teachers would also like to see these learning achievements shown rather than missing targets. Lindsay talked about the school presenting to the BOT what the actual achievements are. Craig asked if the targets were set too high. Lindsay talked about changing the presentation mid-year. The BOT would like this accelerated learning indicated and shown. ‘Below standard’ being 2 years under and ‘below’ being just 1 year behind expectation. The BOT would like to see this more clearly indicated. Pierre talked about a traffic light system. They would like to see a better indication of progress. The Board felt the current way of presenting the information as goals not being achieved does not reflect the fact that many students had done really well in some areas. Lindsay said she would do this.	Action
The 2017 target group is more focused upon ‘the child’ 2x students per class make up the target group. Lindsay said how these would be the litmus paper and by helping these students achieve their goals this would work across the school as all ships climb on a rising tide. These students were picked based on the teachers deciding ‘who do I need to make progress with’. These students will be approached as a whole not in part. Targets need to be clarified for the BOT to understand what is expected to be achieved. Craig asked Lindsay for the goals to be ‘panel beaten’ and brought back to the Board.	Action
<i>The Board moved that the annual plan, the charter and NAG2a is ready for submission to the MOE</i> <i>Moved: Craig Erskine</i> <i>Seconded: Jeff Reddecliffe</i>	Carried
8 AOB – Narrative for EOY 2016 financial report Lindsay advised the Board of the information in the report. The profit and loss areas of the accounts were discussed. The school is still in a good financial position. Lindsay explained about the swings and roundabouts over the year. Extra income than expected then more expenditure than expected, etc. Craig asked what had happened since October when the accounts had appeared until then to be tracking well. Lindsay said this is because of extra personnel costs amongst other things. She explained that there were accumulated funds available and that these costs had to be met to meet the needs of students and property upkeep. The school had requested less from the PTA than they had budgeted to do because they felt the need for their funds would be for areas like the covered frontage etc. this year. With regards to the problem shade cloths Lindsay reported that a senior member of the company had advised her that they were in bad shape and he would look at fixing the issues. He indicated they would reduce the amount of fabric to reduce the sagging and increase the height of the poles, although not as high as she would like. He then said he would work out the costs and get back to her. She advised him that the school will not be contributing anything towards the remedial work as it was there fault they were failing to meet their purpose. She will get back to the board when she hears back from him. Lindsay advised the Board that the school has received an anonymous donation to be used towards the upgrade of the senior playground area. The MOE have indicated some funding may be available due to the building works necessitating the removal of the old junior playground.	Action
Pierre asked about remediation of the site after the buildings are completed. Lindsay talked about a new foot path being provided so that students are away from car parks completely. She indicated that the only break in the path would be around an existing tree trunk. The 10 year property plan will cover the drainage issues on the site. <i>The Board moved the acceptance of the narrative to the financial end of year accounts.</i> <i>Moved: Heather Goodev</i> <i>seconded: Jeff Reddecliffe</i>	Carried

<p>Re the Library ceiling partial collapse. Lindsay got onto the MOE urgently when this happened saying it was a H & S issue. The MOE inspected the damage and suggested it was not that bad and that the area was safe to use. The Board is concerned that this ongoing issue is not being dealt with quickly enough. They are aware that there is some mould and rot. The person who inspected the damage said he would press the case for repairs to be funded by the MOE. We have to wait for his report.</p>	<p>On going</p>
<p>The Board moved into committee to protect the privacy of the personnel involved. Out of committee the Board moved to advertise a two 1yr fixed term positions to cover parental leave from term 3.</p>	
<p>A property meeting needs to be scheduled and a charter meeting possibly at some time on a weekend as this is due in 2018. To be arranged.</p>	<p>Action</p>
<p>10. Meeting closed 8.55pm The next meeting is on Monday 27th March 7pm</p> <p>Signed:  Chairman. Dated: 27th March 2017</p>	

